

Monitoring Large Scale Complex Information Systems

Stefano Leonardi

Università di Roma "La Sapienza"



BIGG meeting 28 - 29 November 2006 ETSI - Sophia Antipolis



Stefano L

Understand the structure, self-organization and dynamics of larg scale information systems

- Provide methods, techniques and tools for controlling and optimizing such systems
- Apply market and biological inspired methods in order to organiz and understand the competition between agents
- □ Two benchmark applications:

Decentralized, self-organized Web search engine based on a p2 architecture

p2p Management platform for telecommunication transport



- □ Subproject 1; Monitoring, Visualizing, and Analyzing Large Dynamically Evolving Information Systems.
- □ Subproject 2; Structure and Self-Organization of Evolving Networks.
- □ Subproject 3; Large Scale Optimisation.
- **Game Theoretic and Organizational Economics Inspired Approaches**
- □ Subproject 5; Biology-Inspired Techniques for Organic IT.
- Subproject 6; Data Management, Search, and Mining on Internet-scale Dynamical Evolving Peer-to-Peer Networks.

CTI, CUNI, ETHZ, EPFL, MPII, RWTH Aachen, Telenor, TILS, TU Wroclaw, TUM, UCAM-DAE, UCY, UDRLS, UniBO, UniKarl, UPB, UPC, UPF, RAL, UP



- Decentralized, self-organized, huge size, dynamic.
- The process of network formation reflects the pattern of interaction between agents in the system.
- Well known patterns are inspired by biological and economic paradigms.
- They are in general aimed to optimize a measure of individual benefit while ensuring good functional properties
- □ Well known paradigms are:
 - preferential attachment with several notions of centrality
 - □ geometric distance
 - □ copying and mutation
 - □ selfishness in route selection



Structural changes

- □ Emerging of new communication targets, Network faults
- □ Selection of neighbours, Change in transmission radius
- Selfish local changes: Re-routing on less expensive paths, Copying patterns from neighbours, Antagonize by learning

Behavioural changes vs Structural Changes

- □ Models information propagation
- □ Agents (robots, viral/anti-viral) moving in the net
- □ Agents that meet are involved in a local game



Models of structural changes

- □ Geometric graphs with controlling parameters (radius, degree)
- □ Sparse overlay graphs
- □ Net formation procedure: selection of neighbors
- □ Evolving networks
- □ Graphs with prescribed degree sequence Models

Models of Behavioural change

- Models of local interaction between neighbors, e.g. models for infinite lattices used in statistical physics
- Concurrent random walks on graphs. Particles that meet change their state, appear/disappear



networks

- A large body of work has concentrated on monitoring and modeling the evolution of large scale complex information systems:
 - The Internet topology (Scale free, Power law, Barabasi, Faloutsous,..)
 - p2p systems (navigability, small world, Kleinberg, Watts and Strogatz,)
 - □ The World Wide Web (Bow-tie structure, Broder et al,)
 - □ Open content repositories, e.g. Wikipedia, the blogspace
 - □ Large scale complex social networks



- Structural analysis of complex networks is a fundamental ingredient for:
 - □ Ranking Web documents (link-analysis)
 - □ Spam detection
 - Improve efficiency of overlay networks (navigability, load balancing,)
 - Building reputation systems
 - □ Search p2p networks
 - Mining the structure of network communities (identify cluster users, content, concepts)
 - **D**



- Most of the work has concentrated on a static analysis of the structure of complex networks
- The characterization of the structure of these networks has been based on the study of few samples
 - □ The Web: Altavista, Webbase, Internet Archive,...
 - □ Internet topology: AT&T, CAIDA and Oregone Route View
 - □ Small size samples of p2p networks



We miss a comprehensive and scalable set of methodologies f tracking the temporal evolution of large scale complex systems

- Define a whole set of concepts, methods and algorithms for monitoring the dynamic of complex networks, i.e. alignment, compression of temporal information, incremental crawling,
- Goal: Reconstructing the baseline features of the dynamic from accurate and limited sampling
- Distributed and scalable monitoring and storage of data
- Continuous update of the characteristics of system's components



- Build a large scale trustable classification systems is a key aspect in several key applications (e.g. distributed Web search, p2p data management for telecommunication services,)
- Describe each component by a limited number of features, e.g., topological properties, contents, performances, feedback from transacting peers
- Classify by clustering in a multi-dimensional feature space. Identification components with near common features.
- Relate structure and evolution of a physical, a p2p and a semantinetwork
- Implement sophisticated distributed algorithms for gathering glob; statistics (trust values, performance indexes).
- □ Distributed secure computation (DHT, majority voting, etc...)



Modeling and predicting the temporal evolution

- Recognize features in an early stage of the life of network components.
- □ Detect emerging trends vs well established phenomena
- Temporal information is fundamental to assess data quality, reputation and relationships.
- □ Compute network properties and statistics on a data stream.
- □ Build automatic on-line classifiers, use techniques from machine



Now that we start to "understand" the structure of complex information systems, we should design mechanisms for controlling their behavior.

- Selfish cooperative and non-cooperative optimization is a way to go: game theory + algorithms + mechanisms + convergence techniques + stability.
- Ilocal implies global" principle should be examined carefully together with

"selecting a few nodes controlled by a central authority to guide the evolution in a desired direction"

Design incentive mechanisms



Evolutionary aspects of network formation

- Drop assumptions of unbounded rationality and global knowledge
- □ How a large population agents can compute or learn an equilibria?
- Play a repeated game in which they use (stale) information about current network conditions updated at regular intervals



Example: cooperative p2p networks

namically Evolving, Large-scale Information Systems

Construction of cooperative P2P networks

- □ Protocols that do not require explicit reputation information
- Give incentive mechanisms to limit the influence of malicious per inspired by market and biological principles.
- Evolutionary approach translated in selecting peers with higher performance that push non cooperative nodes at the border of the network
- □ Ensure highly cooperative social utility



1. Monitor and model the temporal evolution of large scale comple information systems

Grand Challenges

Stefano I

2. Build a scalable and trustable classification systems in open distributed environments

3. Design mechanisms to control the behavior of complex information systems in the presence of selfish cooperative and non-cooperative components



Thank you!